

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria; Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,940	05/04/2001	Todd W. Herrick	C-472/TEC1154	8491	
832	11/07/2003		EXAMINER		
	BAKER & DANIELS		ABRAMS, NEIL		
111 E. WAYNE STREET SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT WAYN	WAYNE, IN 46802 2839		2839		

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary —The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	_	.5	Group Art Unit	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	A b ram	.5	2839	
	ars on the cover sheet b	·L		
	_	eneath the cor	_	
Period for Reply	7		respondence add	dress—
)			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAIL	ING DAT
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defar Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	reply within the statutory mir ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS for tatute, cause the application t	nimum of thirty (30) om the mailing dat	days will be conside to of this communicat	red timely.
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7-14	- 03			
☐ This action is FINAL.		,		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 	ot for formal matters, pro : 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	secution as to	the merits is clos	sed in
Disposition of Claims				
5 Claim(s) 1-27		is/are per	nding in the applica	ation
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration			
☐ Claim(s)		1.7 0		Ceration
Claim(s) 1-27	is/are rejected			
□ Claim(s)		is/are obje	ected to	
□ Claim(s)		am subject	et to metriction or	
Application Papers		requireme	ent	siection
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _ approved [disapproved.		
☐ Th drawing(s) filed on is/are object				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. 6 119 (a)-	(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:		(0).		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	eceived.			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re				
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents			•	
in this national stage application from the International))		
*Certified copies not received:				_
ttachment(s)	-			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No((6)	erview Summan		

☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 \square Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 $\hfill \square$ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Other. Office Action Summary
PTO-1449 PTO-892 and references cited mailed with lust office action
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. .

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

Application/Control Number: 09/848,940

Art Unit: 2839

Period for response set in last office action is withdrawn.

Title is objected to as unclear and as not properly informative.

Drawings objected to, fig. 5, "92" is not directed to a groove. The fig. 4 groove 92 is not seen in fig. 5. Figs. 4, 8, "60" appears incorrect, should it be --62--?

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.

Abstract, reference to "ports 122' and 126 for injection of dielectric subsequent to mating of the connectors" should be added.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 18, 19 directed to fig. 8 embodiment, seem inconsistent with claim 16, since method steps are usually set forth in order performed and claims 18, 19 require first joining the connectors and then injecting the gel into the mated connectors (see apertures 122' and 126).

Applicant could add to claim 16 --the placing and connecting steps being performed in any order-to clarify above matter.

Claims 1- and 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paterek in view of Mattis, Grovall, Shimirak and Katoh.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,940

Art Unit: 2839

Paterek discloses a system with housing 4 for surrounding a compressor and motor, the housing mounting a terminal assembly having a cup shaped body 3 and a cluster block 8 with a shield 14. A cavity is defined by shield 14 and cup 3. Paterek does not disclose dielectric material filling the cavity. Mattis, fig. 8, Shimirak, fig. 2 at 140 and Katoh, figs. 7, 8 disclose assemblies with dielectric material, such as gel filling connector cavities. Gronvall further discloses use of gel to seal contacts within cavities.

It would have been obvious to use such dielectric fill material in Paterek. This would protect the engaged contacts from environmental effects.

Claim 2 met by such combination. Claim 3, Paterek cavity would be closed after mating.

Claims 4, 5, cluster cavity in shield 14 has open end for ingress of dielectric material. Claim 6

met by reference gels. For claim 7, the gel would cure in time as disclosed by Shimirak, col. 5,

lines 45-50 and Gronvall col. 2, lines 30-35. For claims 8, 15, also obvious to enclose Paterek

jacketed wires in dielectric in view of Katoh, figs. 7, 8 and Gronvall, which disclose such aspect.

This would protect the wire to contact attachments from moisture. For claim 16 also obvious to

locate the gel in both the terminal assembly and in the cluster assembly in view of Mattis, fig. 8.

This would protect the contacts before mating. The gel would become cured as discussed above.

For claim 18, open end of Paterek shield 14 defines on aperture for ingress of gel. Claim 11

relates to method step rather than to structural features. For other included claims, above

discussions are adequate.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,940 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leitmann in view of Paterek and Gronvall.

Leitmann shows a terminal connector T with pin 16b (joined at both ends), connector \$15 read as a cluster assembly with one contact, dielectric material F filling the connectors when mated. Leitmann is not mounted to a compressor housing nor is F disclosed to be gel. It would have been obvious to use the Leitmann connector T on a compressor in view of Paterek and to form F as gel in view of Gronvall. Compressor use is a standard use for terminals and gel is typically used as a dielectric to protect contacts. Also term "pin" with no tie in to other features construed. The gel would may be broadly also cure as taught by Gronvall.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to N. Abrams at telephone number 308-1729.

Abrams/ek

11/05/03

NEIL ARRAMS
EXAMINER
ART UNIT 322